### Adaptive Subdomain Modeling in ADCIRC++

Alper Altuntas T. Dyer, F. Bukhari, J. Baugh

Department of Civil, Construction and Environmental Engineering North Carolina State University

2016 ADCIRC Users Group Meeting

## Introduction

### **Ocean Models:**

- Large temporal and spatial scales
- Many local variations to be analyzed

## Conventional Subdomain Modeling (CSM):

► Assessment of multiple local changes with less computational effort

## A New Adaptive Approach

### Adaptive subdomain modeling:

- ► Concurrent analysis of multiple child domains and a parent domain
- Adaptively moving child domain boundaries

### ADCIRC++:

- Object oriented design and data abstraction
- Dynamic behavior
- Same input files

## Outline

- 1. Introduction
  - Subdomain Modeling
- 2. Adaptive Subdomain Modeling
  - Error Indicator
  - The ASM Algorithm
  - Boundary Conditions
- 3. Test Cases
  - Parametric Study
  - Sensitivity Analysis
- 4. ADCIRC++
  - An Updated Architecture
- 5. Remarks
- NC STATE UNIVERSITY

## Subdomain Modeling

Enables the assessment of multiple local changes without requiring a full scale simulation for each local change. (Baugh et al., 2015)

- ▶ Boundary conditions: water surface elevation, velocity and wet/dry status
- ► A GUI for extracting subdomains (Dyer and Baugh, 2016)
- Incorporated in ADCIRC release

## Subdomain Modeling Work Flow

### 1. Construct a subdomain

- ► Locate regions of interest, subdomains, within a full-scale domain
- Perform the full domain run to generate boundary conditions
- Preprocess boundary condition files
- Perform the subdomain runs

### 2. Generate engineering scenarios

- Verify the subdomain
- Apply local alterations
- Perform the subdomain runs with alterations
- Verify the alterations

## Subdomain Modeling



Figure: Subdomain extraction with SMT

## Subdomain Modeling Requirements

### User judgment to determine subdomain sizes:

- large enough to contain the altered hydrodynamics
- small enough to minimize redundant computations

### Verification steps:

- Verify subdomain grids
- Verify alterations
- Pre- and post-processing of large input and output files:
  - ► Conventional ADCIRC input files: fort.{13,14,15,22,...}
  - Boundary conditions files: fort.019

## A New Adaptive Approach

## Adaptive subdomain modeling (ASM):

- The spatial extent of each child domain is determined automatically. No user judgment required.
- Boundaries are moved adaptively. Verification is inbuilt.
- Boundary conditions are transferred through primary memory. No bc files required.
- Child domain instances are copy-constructed.
   No conventional ADCIRC input files required for child domains.

**Patch:** Designates computationally active regions of ADCIRC++ grids. Only active nodes and elements participate in computations.

- Moving boundaries
- May be non-contiguous.

### Extent of patches:

- ▶ Parent domain: the entire grid
- Child domain: regions of altered hydrodynamics

## Shinnecock Inlet Child Domain Patch

Initial size = 78 elements (1.35% of the entire grid) Maximum size = 1090 elements (18.85% of the entire grid)



## Shinnecock Inlet Child Domain Patch

Initial size = 78 elements (1.35% of the entire grid) Maximum size = 1090 elements (18.85% of the entire grid)



## Shinnecock Inlet Child Domain Patch

Initial size = 78 elements (1.35% of the entire grid) Maximum size = 1090 elements (18.85% of the entire grid)



## Shinnecock Inlet Child Domain Patch

Initial size = 78 elements (1.35% of the entire grid) Maximum size = 1090 elements (18.85% of the entire grid)



## Shinnecock Inlet Child Domain Patch

Initial size = 78 elements (1.35% of the entire grid) Maximum size = 1090 elements (18.85% of the entire grid)



## Shinnecock Inlet Child Domain Patch

Initial size = 78 elements (1.35% of the entire grid) Maximum size = 1090 elements (18.85% of the entire grid)



## Shinnecock Inlet Child Domain Patch

Initial size = 78 elements (1.35% of the entire grid) Maximum size = 1090 elements (18.85% of the entire grid)



## Shinnecock Inlet Child Domain Patch

Initial size = 78 elements (1.35% of the entire grid) Maximum size = 1090 elements (18.85% of the entire grid)



. . . . . . . . .

## Adjusting Patch Boundary Locations

### Three essential components:

- Error Indicator
- Expansion/Contraction Algorithm
- Prescription of Boundary Conditions

**Error:** Discrepancy between the modified child domain and the parent domain with same modifications.

**Error Indicator:** A measure of difference between the solutions of the modified child domain and original parent domain.

$$ho = max(
ho_\eta, 
ho_u, 
ho_v)$$

where,

$$\rho_{\eta} = \left(\frac{|\eta_{child} - \eta_{parent}|}{\sqrt{0.5(|\eta_{child}| + |\eta_{parent}|)}}\right)^{2}$$

$$\rho_{u} = \left(\frac{|u_{child} - u_{parent}|}{\sqrt{0.5(|u_{child}| + |u_{parent}|)}}\right)^{2} \Delta t$$

$$\rho_{v} = \left(\frac{|v_{child} - v_{parent}|}{\sqrt{0.5(|v_{child}| + |v_{parent}|)}}\right)^{2} \Delta t$$

## Absolute Difference vs. Error Indicator



#### **NC STATE UNIVERSITY**

## Expansion/Contraction Algorithm

### **ASM control parameters:**

tolerance  $(\tau)$ : compared with the computed values of error indicators to determine whether a patch grows or shrinks, or stays the same.

safety factor ( $\sigma$ ): Error indicator values are compared with the tolerance multiplied by the safety factor to determine the contraction of patches.

**minimum activation interval (** $\theta$ **):** minimum number of timesteps during which a newly activated node must stay active.

decay constant ( $\lambda$ ): exponential decay constant of locally increased tolerances.

## Expansion/Contraction Algorithm

### Main steps of the algorithm:

- 1. Assessment of altered hydrodynamics
- 2. Expansion
- 3. Contraction
- 4. Post-processing

- **Expansion Criteria** for patch boundary node *i*:
  - $\exists n \in neitab(i) : \rho_n > \tau_i$
  - ▶ *i* not adjacent to a grid boundary (except island or mainland)
- **Contraction Criteria** for patch boundary node *i*:
  - $\forall n \in neitab(i) : \rho_n < \sigma \tau^0$  and  $\forall m \in neitab(n) : \rho_m < \sigma \tau^0$
  - *i* is activated at least  $\theta$  timesteps ago.
  - *i* is not adjacent to an expansion node
  - *i* is not included in the initial patch

where neitab(i) is the set of neighboring nodes of i.



**NC STATE UNIVERSITY** 

24



**NC STATE** UNIVERSITY





## Steps 2-4

## 2. Expansion:

- Move boundary outwards by one layer of nodes and elements
- Update the tolerances of the new boundary nodes

### **3. Contraction:**

- ► Move boundary inwards by one layer of nodes and elements
- 4. Post-processing: (in case any expansion/contraction occurs)
  - Reset and resize the system of equations.
  - Update the invalidated and outdated containers and properties.
  - Apply an exponential decay for locally increased tolerances.

## **Boundary Conditions**

In ASM, boundary conditions are enforced as in CSM subdomains, except:

- ► No boundary conditions file is required.
- ► No interpolation is required.

## ASM and CSM are complementary

## Hierarchical nesting of ASM and CSM:

- Combines the advantages of both methods
- Allows sequential variations



## Implementation

### Work flow:

- 0. Begin with a full-scale ADCIRC domain: fort. {13,14,15,22,...}
- 1. Generate .dif files for child domains
- 2. Run ADCIRC++



- Parametric Study to evaluate the impacts of control parameters on accuracy:
  - Beaufort Inlet
- Sensitivity Analysis to demonstrate the applicability and computational efficiency of the method:
  - Hurricane Irene (2011)

## Beaufort Inlet

A tidal model available from ADCIRC website. nodes:32,218, elements:58,641,  $\delta t=5$  sec, RNDAY=40.



80 child domains run concurrently:

- ▶ Same local change: The depths of 20 nodes at Hatteras Inlet are increased by 1.425m on avg.
- ► Varying sets of control parameters:  $\tau = \{10^{-1}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5}\}, \sigma = \{10^{-1}, 10^{-2}\}, \lambda = \{5 \times 10^{-3}, 5 \times 10^{-4}, 5 \times 10^{-5}, 5 \times 10^{-6}\}, \theta = \{10, 100\}$

### Beaufort Inlet: varying control parameters



max errors  $\rightarrow$  worst case: 19cm, best case: 5cm

#### **NC STATE UNIVERSITY**

## Hurricane Irene - Sensitivity Analysis

A storm surge model. Full-scale grid: NC Mesh Version 9.98, nodes=622,946, elements=1,230,430,  $\delta t$ =0.5 sec, RNDAY=8 days. Subdomain grid: nodes=39,234, elements=78,114.



68 child domains run concurrently:

- ▶ Varying local change: Throughout the intake canal, Manning's N and depth are varied.
- Same set of control parameters:  $\tau = 10^{-4}$ ,  $\sigma = 10^{-2}$ ,  $\lambda = 10^{-5}$ ,  $\theta = 100$ .

### Hurricane Irene

Change in  $\eta$  as the depth and Manning's N are varied throughout the canal



(b) Maximum water surface elevations at Station-4

#### **NC STATE UNIVERSITY**

З

0.38230

0.38215

0.38170

0.38140 0.38125 0.38110

## Hurricane Irene - Computational Efficiency

#### Cost of an additional simulation:

Grid	Runtime (CPU-hrs)	%
Full-scale	1487.6	
CSM subdomain	102.3	6.88
ASM child domain	3.6	0.24

Errors less than 1 mm

## An Online Visualization Tool: www.adcirc.io



4. ADCIRC++

## ADCIRC++: ADCIRC in C++14

### **Original ADCIRC**

- ► FORTRAN with procedural abstraction.
- Global and non-reentrant data structures

### ADCIRC++

- Object oriented design and data abstraction.
- Concurrent executions of multiple domains.
- Facilitates adaptive subdomain modeling.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Non-optimized, has abstraction penalty, yet is only  ${\sim}15\%$  less efficient.

### **Reimplemented capabilities:**

- Consistent and lumped mass matrix solvers
- Wetting and drying
- Non-conservative momentum equations solver (new formulation)
- Tidal forcing
- ► Meteorological forcing: NWS=8 (Holland), NWS=12 (OWI)

### Core capabilities NOT reimplemented yet:

- SWAN coupling
- Hotstarting
- ► 3D solver
- Parallelism (in progress)

## Code Structure

### 1. Generic & Polymorphic source code

- Class templates
- Abstract classes

### 2. ADCIRC-Specific code

- ► Hand-written: 19k lines (incl. Level 1)
- Translated with Fable: 4k lines (ITPACKV)
- ▶ Replaced libraries with C++ version: 1k lines (KDTREE)

4. ADCIRC++

## Class diagram of the Architecture



## Remarks

- A multi-analysis technique and an updated software architecture is presented for ocean models when a number of design and failure scenarios are to be considered.
- Test results show that both the method and the software architecture are highly efficient and reliable.
- In addition to supporting what-if scenarios, the method can also facilitate formal optimization strategies and parametric studies for coastal development.

### Future work

- ► Optimization and parallelization of ADCIRC++
- ► Incorporation of Adaptive Mesh Refinement in ADCIRC++

#### References

- Baugh, et al. An exact reanalysis technique for storm surge and tides in a geographic region of interest. Coastal Engineering 97 (2015): 60-77.
- Dyer and Baugh. SMT: An interface for localized storm surge modeling. Advances in Engineering Software 92 (2016): 27-39.
- Baugh and Rehak. Data abstraction in engineering software development. Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering 6.3 (1992): 282-301.
- Baugh and Altuntas. Modeling a Discrete Wet-Dry Algorithm for Hurricane Storm Surge in Alloy. 5th International ABZ Conference (2016)

# Appendix

## Child Domain Timestepping Loop



## Patch Initialization



Figure: Initial patch of Shinnecock Inlet child domain

## ASM is not wetting and drying

When a node is made dry:

- Its off-diagonal terms in GWCE set to zero. (The size of the system of equations remains unchanged.)
- Outputs set to alternative value (-99999.0).

When a node is **deactivated**:

- Completely removed from the computations. (System of equations resized.)
- Outputs obtained from the corresponding parent node.

Step-2: Expansion

### At the nodes that are marked for expansion:

► The patch boundary is moved outwards by one layer of nodes and elements.

## At the NEW boundary nodes:

▶ The tolerances of the new patch boundary nodes are set as:

$$\tau_i^t = \tau^0 + max(\rho)$$

### Step-2: Expansion



**NC STATE UNIVERSITY** 

### Step-2: Expansion



**NC STATE UNIVERSITY** 

50

### Step-2: Expansion



**NC STATE** UNIVERSITY

### Step-2: Expansion



52

## Step-2: Expansion



## Step-3: Contraction

### At the boundary nodes marked for contraction:

• The patch boundary is moved inwards by one layer of nodes and elements.

### Step-3: Contraction



**NC STATE UNIVERSITY** 

## Step-3: Contraction



**NC STATE UNIVERSITY** 

### Step-3: Contraction



**NC STATE UNIVERSITY** 

## Step-3: Contraction



## Step-3: Contraction



**NC STATE UNIVERSITY** 

## Step-4: Post-processing

### In case of expansion/contraction:

- ▶ The system of equations is reset and resized.
- Invalidated and outdated containers and properties are updated.
- ► Locally increased tolerances are subjected to an exponential decay:

$$\tau_j^t = (\tau_j^{t-1} - \tau^0)e^{-\lambda} + \tau^0$$



## Hurricane Fran

A storm surge model. Full-scale grid: NC FEMA Mesh Version 9.92, nodes=620,089, elements=1,224,714,  $\delta t$ =0.5 sec, RNDAY=3.9 days. Subdomain grid: nodes=28,643, elements=56,983.



144 child domains run concurrently:

- **Same local change:** The topography is arbitrarily raised to add a 2.5-mile protective structure.
- ► Varying sets of control parameters:  $\tau = \{10^{-1}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5}, 10^{-6}\}, \sigma = \{10^{-1}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}\}, \lambda = \{5 \times 10^{-3}, 5 \times 10^{-4}, 5 \times 10^{-5}, 5 \times 10^{-6}\}, \theta = \{10, 100\}$

### Hurricane Fran: varying control parameters



max errors  $\rightarrow$  worst case: 3.5cm, best case: 0.69 cm

## Hurricane Fran

Child domain patch extents at various timesteps for  $\tau = 10^{-5}$ ,  $\sigma = 10^{-2}$ ,  $\lambda = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\theta = 10$ 

